IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 34931

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2008 Unpublished Opinion No. 674
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: October 16, 2008
v.	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
GREGORY SCOTT MULLER, Defendant-Appellant.) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
) OPINION AND SHALL NOT) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Michael E. Wetherell, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of life imprisonment, with a minimum period of confinement of fifteen years, for lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen, <u>affirmed</u>.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Eric D. Fredericksen, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

PER CURIAM

Gregory Scott Muller pled guilty to lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen. I.C. § 18-1508. In exchange for his guilty plea, two additional charges were dismissed. The district court sentenced Muller to unified term of life imprisonment, with a minimum period of confinement of fifteen years. Muller filed an I.C.R. 35 motion for reduction of his sentence, which the district court denied. Muller appeals, challenging the excessiveness of his sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho

722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Muller's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.